Appl. No. 10/665,880 Amdt, dated June 17, 2009 Reply to Office Action of April 17, 2009

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Before this Amendment, claims 4-5, 7-12, and 19-25 were present for examination. The Office Action has rejected claims 4, 10, 11, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention process is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In the interests of quickly furthering prosecution, Claims 4, 10, 11, and 20 are amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion from the Ex Parte Quayle action dated April 17, 2009. No new matter is added by these amendments. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application as amended.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 303-571-4000.

Respectfully submitted,

/Thomas D. Franklin/ Thomas D. Franklin Reg. No. 43,616

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 303-571-4000 Fax: 415-576-0300

TDF:klb 62080179 v1

Client Ref. No.: 03PA062US01